

## 評価項目（原文）

### **(A) Scientific quality and vision of the project:**

- Excellence regarding the state of the science/the art;
- Relevance of the project with regard to the scope of the project;
- Positioning of the project at the national and international level;
- Relevance, novelty and originality of the project;
- Clarity of the objectives and overall plan of the project.

### **(B) France-Japan Research Partnership :**

- Competitiveness and synergy of the France-Japan research collaboration in the field of basic cancer research;
- Strength, sustainability and added value of the partnership;
- Clarity, appropriateness and feasibility of the governance arrangements and division of roles between Japan and France-based research teams;
- Strategy to foster knowledge and methodology exchange between the partner teams;
- Plan for building and expanding the international collaboration in an equally beneficial manner to both France and Japan.

### **(C) Co-Principal Investigators and the research teams:**

- Quality and experience of the co-coordinators regarding the project objectives. Young PI are encouraged to take responsibility in the submitted project;
- Experience of the co-coordinator in international research communities and with co-investigators from foreign institution;
- Excellence of the associated teams participating in the project and their team heads;
- Quality of the research partnership, relevance to project objectives and ability to generate results : expertise, complementarity and/or multidisciplinary of the teams collaborating in the project.

### **(D) Methodology and feasibility:**

- Quality of the proposed methodology : design, feasibility and technological relevance;
- Technical resources: access to technological platforms, data processing centres, biological resource centres associated with clinical data, etc.;
- Compliance with ethical rules and regulations;
- Quality of the coordination between the partners (meetings plan, preparation of monitoring reports, communication, etc.);
- Appropriateness and justification of the time schedule proposed regarding the project objectives.

### **(E) Support for early career researchers**

- Appropriateness of plans for cultivating next-generation researchers;
- Promotion of international mobility for next-generation researchers;
- Experience of Principal Investigators in human resources development for young researchers.

**(F) Impact of the project:**

- Scientific, technical and/or medical impact in both France and Japan;
- Innovation in both France and Japan;
- Potential impact of the project results on the scientific, industrial and company communities (intellectual property, economic and company potential, etc.) in both France and Japan (if relevant).
- Perpetuation of the joint research partnership and promotion of a France-Japan research network beyond completion of the project.

**(G) Financial feasibility:**

- Suitability of human resources allocated to the project;
- Appropriateness and justification of requested funding according to the proposed work plan;
- Clarity of the breakdown and justification of the proposed international and mobility activities and costs;
- Planned budget to host human resources from overseas partner lab;
- Secured budget to allow mobility of human resources to overseas partner's lab;